arXiv:1703.02147v1 [math.CO] 6 Mar 2017

COUNTING TOPOLOGICAL TYPES OF FINITE GROUP ACTIONS

MARIELA CARVACHO
AND
ANTHONY WEAVER

Abstract. We study fully ramified actions of the elementary abelian p group of rank $k \geq 1$, on surfaces of genus g, obtaining explicit counts of topological equivalence classes via a combinatorial approach. For rank $k > 1$, we introduce the *partition type* of an action, which encodes, in the form of an additive partition, the number of points fixed by each non-trivial cyclic subgroup. Our results are complete in principle for all groups of rank 1 and 2. We tabulate results (as polynomials in p) for rank 2 actions with orbit genus 0 and up to six branch points.

1. Introduction

Let p be a prime and let $\mathbb{Z}_p^k$, $k \geq 1$ be the elementary abelian p group of rank k. Let the group act by automorphisms on a surface X of genus $g > 1$, such that the quotient surface $X/\mathbb{Z}_p^k$ has genus $h \geq 0$, and the quotient map $X \to X/\mathbb{Z}_p^k$ branches over $R \geq 0$ points. We consider only the *fully ramified* case, in which $h = 0$ (implying $R \geq 3$). The justification for this is that the more general case easily reduces to the fully ramified case, where the main difficulties lie (see [2] Remark 4.3 and also [4], Corollary 9).

The existence of such an action is equivalent to the existence of a short exact sequence of group homomorphisms

$$1 \to \Lambda_g \xrightarrow{\iota} \Gamma \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathbb{Z}_p^k \to 1, \tag{1}$$

where 1 is the trivial group, and Γ is a Fuchsian group with *signature* $(0; R)$ and presentation

$$\Gamma = \Gamma(0; R) = \langle \gamma_1, \dots \gamma_R \mid \gamma_1^p = \cdots = \gamma_R^p = \prod_{i=1}^{R} \gamma_i = \mathrm{id} \rangle. \tag{2}$$

Λ_g is a torsion-free (*surface*) group with signature $(g; -)$ and presentation

$$\Lambda_g = \langle \alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_g, \beta_g \mid \prod_{i=1}^{g} \alpha_i \beta_i \alpha_i^{-1} \beta_i^{-1} = \mathrm{id} \rangle.$$

1.1. Two short exact sequences of the form (1) determine *topologically equivalent* actions (actions of the same *topological type*) if there are automorphisms $\phi : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ and $\psi : \mathbb{Z}_p^k \to \mathbb{Z}_p^k$

such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \to & \Lambda_g & \xrightarrow{i_1} & \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\eta_1} & \mathbb{Z}_p^k & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \| & & \phi\downarrow & & \psi\downarrow & & \\ 1 & \to & \Lambda_g & \xrightarrow{i_2} & \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\eta_2} & \mathbb{Z}_p^k & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

commutes. Each epimorphism η_i specifies a *generating vector*

$$(\eta_i(\gamma_1), \ldots, \eta_i(\gamma_R)) \in \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_p^k \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_p^k}_{R \text{ factors}},$$

an (ordered) set of elements which generate the group, and whose product, due to the final relation in (2), is the identity. In an abelian group, of course, the product of a set of elements is independent of the order in which they are taken, so a generating vector is really just a generating set. An element

$$(\psi, \phi) \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \times \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_p^k)$$

acts on generating vectors by sending

$$(\eta(\gamma_1), \ldots, \eta(\gamma_R)) \quad \mapsto (\phi \circ \eta \circ \psi(\gamma_1), \ldots, \phi \circ \eta \circ (\gamma_R)).$$

Thus topological types of fully ramified $\mathbb{Z}_p^k$ actions with R branch points correspond to the orbits of this action on R-element generating vectors. In the special case we consider, $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ permutes the generators $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_R \in \Gamma$ in all possible ways (see [2] Prop. 4.2 and Remark 4.2; or [4], Sec. 2.3).

1.2. There have been a number of attempts to count topological types of group actions in a given genus. The main motivation is that topological types are in bijection with conjugacy classes of finite subgroups of the corresponding (genus g) mapping class group. E.K. Lloyd [7] gave a generating function in which the coefficient of the term of degree $g \geq 0$ is the number of topologically inequivalent G-actions in genus g, where $G = \mathbb{Z}_p$. Maclachlan and Miller [9] greatly extended and generalized Lloyd's techniques, making them, in principle, applicable to arbitrary finite groups, and in particular, to arbitrary finite cyclic groups. Their methods, however, are non-constructive; no explicit signatures or generating vectors are produced. Costa and Natanzon [5] give a bijective classification of topological types of elementary abelian p-group actions which specifies (without solving) the crucial counting problem, namely, the number of orbits of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_p^k)$ on unordered, generating R-tuples of nontrivial elements whose product is the identity (cf. their Theorem 16). Broughton and Wootton [4] describe a technique using representation theory and Möbius inversion to count topological types of abelian actions, and provide explicit results in some low genus cases, e.g., actions of $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ with four branch points (cf. their Section 4). Their method depends on enumeration of the finite subgroups of the symmetric groups.

1.3. In this paper, we define the *partition type* of a $\mathbb{Z}_p^k$ action, which specifies the number of points fixed by each non-trivial cyclic subgroup. This carves up the counting problem into more tractable pieces which are essentially in bijection with the partitions of R. The main results of the paper are Theorem 5.1 and its Corollary, and Theorem 5.3, constituting a complete treatment of the the rank 2 case. The rank 1 case, first studied by Nielsen [11] (see also [6], [7]) is easily treated as a degeneration of the rank 2 case, in Section 5.2. In

Section 6 we give explicit counts (polynomials in p) of the number of topological types of fully ramified $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ actions with $R \leq 6$ branch points (see Table 1). We also prove a purely combinatorial Lemma in Section 4, which is possibly of independent interest (see, e.g., [8], [15]).

We make frequent use of the *multichoose* number

$$\binom{N+M-1}{N}$$

which is (among many other interpretations – see, e.g., [14]) the number of N-member *multi*-subsets (with possible repeated elements) of an ordered M-element set. This can be seen by coding the multi-set as a binary string of length $N+M-1$, with N 1's and $M-1$ 0's, as follows: The number of 1's before the first 0 is the number of occurrences of the first element of the set; the number of 1's between the first and second 0 is the number of occurrences of the second element, etc. Thus, for example, 10011010111 specifies the multisubset $\{a, c, c, d, e, e, e\}$ of the set $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$. We also use *Burnside's Lemma* (see, e.g., [12], Chapter 10) which states that the number of orbits of a finite group acting on a finite set is the average over the group of the number of fixed points.

There is a large literature centered around group actions on Riemann surfaces. In addition to the references cited above, we mention [1], [3], [10] (Chapters II and III), [16], [17], as broad overviews with many further references.

We would like to thank Cormac O'Sullivan for help with the proof of Lemma 4.1.

2. The partition type for rank ≥ 2

Identify $\mathbb{Z}_p^k$, $k > 1$, with the vector space of dimension k over F_p. Group elements are k-rowed column vectors with entries 0, 1, ..., $p-1$; the group operation is vector addition (mod p). A generating set is the unordered set of columns of a $k \times R$ matrix M of rank k, having no zero columns, and each row-sum $\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. $\mathrm{GL}_k(F_p) = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_p^k)$ acts by left multiplication on columns, hence, columnwise, on column sets. The extended action preserves the 0 row-sums condition and thus restricts to an action on generating column sets. The isotropy subgroup of M is the set of elements of $\mathrm{GL}_k(F_p)$ which permute the columns of M.

The Riemann-Hurwitz relation for a fully ramified $\mathbb{Z}_p^k$ action on a surface X of genus g branched over $R \geq 3$ points is

$$g - 1 = \frac{Rp^{k-1}(p-1)}{2} - p^k.$$

In particular, $g \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{k-1}}$. Each of the R branch points on the quotient sphere has p^{k-1} preimages on X, all of them fixed by a particular $\mathbb{Z}_p$ subgroup, acting by rotation about the point. If $k = 1$, of course, there is just one such subgroup; when $k > 1$, $\mathbb{Z}_p$ denotes one of the $(p^k-1)/(p-1)$ nontrivial cyclic subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}_p^k$. If the genus of the quotient $X/\mathbb{Z}_p$ is $\gamma \geq 0$, then $\mathbb{Z}_p$ fixes

$$\frac{2g + (2p-2) - 2p\gamma}{p-1}$$

points, and this number equals ip^{k-1} for some $i > 0$. Putting $\gamma = \gamma(i)$, the total number of fixed points is

$$Rp^{k-1} = \sum m_i \left(\frac{2g + (2p-2) - 2p\gamma(i)}{p-1} \right),$$

where $m_i \geq 0$ is the number of distinct $\mathbb{Z}_p$ subgroups acting with quotient genus $\gamma(i)$. This yields the additive partition

$$R = \sum_{i=1}^{R-k} m_i \cdot i = \overbrace{1 + \cdots + 1}^{m_1} + \overbrace{2 + \cdots + 2}^{m_2} + \cdots + \overbrace{(R-k) + \cdots + (R-k)}^{m_{R-k}}, \tag{3}$$

with $m_i \geq 0$ parts of size i. We note the following restrictions on the partition:

(1) The total number of parts $\sum_i m_i$ is at least k (since a generating set must contain an element from at least k distinct $\mathbb{Z}_p$ subgroups), and at most $(p^k - 1)/(p-1)$ (the number of distinct subgroups isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p$);
(2) If there are exactly k parts, all parts must have size ≥ 2, otherwise there is a row sum which is $\not\equiv 0 \bmod p$;
(3) The largest possible part size is $(R-k)$, for, if there were a larger part, by (1), the partition could only be $R = (R-k+1) + 1 + \cdots + 1$, with $k-1$ 1's, which contradicts (2).

3. Rank 2

When $k = 2$ it is more convenient to state the partition (3) in the simpler form

$$R = P_1 + P_2 + \cdots + P_n, \tag{4}$$

with $2 \leq n \leq p+1$ non-zero parts, not necessarily distinct, numbered in some convenient order. We shall deal seperately with the cases $n = 2, 3$ (see Section 5.1); hence, until further notice, we assume $n > 3$ (which implies $p \neq 2$).

Fix generators of the $p+1$ non-trivial proper subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ as follows:

$$\overline{g}_\infty = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \overline{g}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \overline{g}_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, p-1. \tag{5}$$

These can be thought of as the points $\infty, 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$ of the projective line $PG(1, F_p)$, on which the projective group $PGL_2(F_p) \simeq GL_2(F_p)/\{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{smallmatrix}\right] \mid x \in F_p^*\}$ acts by linear fractional transformations. The action is three-transitive (see, e.g., [13], Theorem 10.6.8), and extends columnwise to generating column sets, preserving the topological type (being a quotient of the $GL_2(F_p)$ action on $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$).

A generating column set M for a fully ramified $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ action with partition type (4) associates a unique generator from the list (5) to each part. Hence there is an injective *marking* function

$$f = f_{\{M\}} : \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \hookrightarrow \{\infty, 0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$$

associated to M. By the three-transitivity of $PGL_2(F_p)$, we may assume (within topological equivalence), that

$$f(1), f(2), f(3) = \infty, 0, 1, \quad \text{respectively.}$$

$\{f(4),\dots,f(n)\}$ is some $(n-3)$-element subset of $\{2,3,\dots,p+1\}$. We may assume (again within topological equivalence) that

$$2 \le f(4) < f(5) < \cdots < f(n) \le p-1.$$

This is justified since there is an element of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ which fixes $\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma_3 \in \Gamma$ (cf. the presentation (2)), while effecting any permutation of the remaining generators $\gamma_3,\dots,\gamma_R \in \Gamma$. With these assumptions, the number of distinct markings is

$$\binom{p-2}{n-3}. \tag{6}$$

Having chosen the marking, we may present the generating column set M as a $2 \times R$ matrix in block form

$$M = \left[S_1 \mid \ S_2 \ \mid \ S_3 \ \mid \ S_4 \ \mid \ \dots \ \mid \ S_n\right], \tag{7}$$

where S_i consists of P_i non-zero scalar multiples of the column $\overline{g}_{f(i)}$. Let

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(\{P_1,P_2,\dots,P_n\},f)$$

be the set of such matrices with both row sums $\equiv 0 \bmod p$. We are free to replace $M \in \mathcal{M}$ by any $M' \in C \cdot M$, where C is the central subgroup

$$C = \{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{smallmatrix}\right] \mid x \in F_p^*\} \subset GL_2(F_p),$$

which was factored out in obtaining $PGL_2(F_p)$. We seek the orbit count $|C\backslash\mathcal{M}|$, which is the number of topological types of fully ramified $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ actions of partition type (4) and given marking function. As in the rank 1 case, we use Burnside's Lemma.

Suppose a $2 \times R$ matrix M in block-form (7) is fixed (up to columnwise reordering) by a nontrivial subgroup $C' \le C$. Then each block S_i is a union of cosets of a subgroup of $\langle \overline{g}_{f(i)} \rangle$ isomorphic to C'. This implies that $|C'|$ is a divisor of each P_i and hence

$$d = \gcd\{P_1,P_2,\dots,P_n,p-1\} > 1.$$

In addition, each sub-block has row sums $\equiv 0 \bmod p$ so that $M \in \mathcal{M}$ automatically. This is a consequence of the fact that $C' = \langle \left[\begin{smallmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{smallmatrix}\right] \rangle$, where $c \in F_p^*$ has multiplicative order $1 < d' \mid d$, and that $1 + c + c^2 + \cdots + c^{d'-1} \equiv 0 \bmod p$.

Within S_i, repetitions of the same sub-block are possible and the ordering of the sub-blocks is arbitrary. Thus each sub-block is a multi-subset of the set of cosets of C', which has $(p-1)/d'$ elements and can be ordered in some convenient way. Hence the number of ways of choosing the sub-blocks is the multichoose number

$$\binom{P_i/d' + (p-1)/d' - 1}{P_i/d'}. \tag{8}$$

It follows that the number of distinct M fixed by C' is the product over $i = 1,\dots,n$ of the numbers (8). Now Burnside's lemma yields

$$|C\backslash\mathcal{M}| = \frac{1}{p-1}\left[|\mathcal{M}| + \sum_{1<d'|d} \phi(d') \prod_{i=1}^{n} \binom{P_i/d' + (p-1)/d' - 1}{P_i/d'}\right]. \tag{9}$$

Remark 3.1. Formula (9) is independent of the marking function.

Combining (6) with (9) we obtain the preliminary count

$$T(p, P_1, \dots, P_n) = \binom{p-2}{n-3} |C \backslash \mathcal{M}| \tag{10}$$

for the number of topological types. To use (9), of course, we must determine $|\mathcal{M}|$.

For this it will be convenient to specify generating column sets (7) in a different way. Let S_i contain $a_{ij} \geq 0$ copies of the column $j\overline{g}_{f(i)}$. Then the $n \times (p-1)$ matrix $[a_{ij}]$ determines (7) uniquely. The entries of $[a_{ij}]$ satisfy

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} a_{ij} = P_i = |S_i|, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n. \tag{11}$$

The 0 row sums condition on (7) is

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=3}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} j a_{ij} & \equiv -\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} j a_{1j} \\ \sum_{i=3}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} f(i) j a_{ij} & \equiv -\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} j a_{2j} \end{cases} \mod p. \tag{12}$$

The action of the central subgroup C transfers to $[a_{ij}]$ as a column permutation of the form $x : a_{ij} \mapsto a_{i\ xj}$, $x \in F_p^*$, with xj reduced mod p. Clearly this action does not alter the sums (11), and it preserves the congruences (12), since each side of both congruences is simply multiplied by $x \not\equiv 0$. Let

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n\}, f)$$

be the set of matrices $[a_{ij}]$ satisfying (11) and (12) for a given marking f. It follows that $|\mathcal{M}| = |\mathcal{A}|$. To determine this number we need the results of the next section (which could be skimmed or skipped on first reading).

4. The residue class of a weighted sum

In this section we prove a general lemma about the mod p distribution of weighted sums over an integer matrix. We are interested in $n \times (p-1)$ matrices $[a_{ij}]$ with columns indexed by $j = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$, as in $\mathcal{A}(\{P_1, \dots, P_n\}, f)$, for p odd, and the result we need is Corollary 4.2 at the end of the section. However, it is easier at first consider the more general case of $n \times p$ matrices, having an extra column with index $j = 0$. The case of interest is then covered by the assumption that the initial column is a column of 0's.

In the course of the proof, we use the *Gaussian numbers*

$$\begin{bmatrix} m+n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(q^{n+m}-1)(q^{n+m-1}-1) \cdot \dots \cdot (q^{n+1}-1)}{(q^m-1)(q^{m-1}-1) \cdot \dots \cdot (q-1)}, \tag{13}$$

where m, n are positive integers. When q is a prime power, this is the number of m-dimensional subspaces of an $(m+n)$-dimensional vector space over the field with q elements. Viewed as a rational function in q, a Gaussian number is in fact a polynomial with integer coefficients,

$$\begin{bmatrix} m+n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q = \sum_{l=0}^{nm} t_l q^l,$$

where t_l is equal to the number of partitions of $1 \leq l \leq nm$ into at most m parts of size at most n. A proof of this statement, and further details on the Gaussian numbers, can be found in, e.g., [12], Ch. 24.

Lemma 4.1. *For an odd prime p, a set $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_m\}$, $m \geq 1$, of positive integers, and a residue class α mod p, let $n_{\mathcal{P},\alpha}$ be the number of $m \times p$ matrices*

$$[a_{ij}] = \begin{bmatrix} a_{10} & a_{11} & \ldots & a_{1\ p-1} \\ a_{20} & a_{21} & \ldots & a_{2\ p-1} \\ \ddots & & & \\ & & & \ddots \\ a_{m0} & \ldots & & a_{m\ p-1} \end{bmatrix} \tag{14}$$

with nonnegative integer entries such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_{ij} = P_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m$$

and such that, for some function $f : \{1, 2, \ldots, m\} \to \{1, 2, \ldots, p-1\}$, the weighted sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} f(i) \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} j a_{ij} \tag{15}$$

is in the residue class α mod p. Let

$$e_{P_i} = \binom{P_i + p - 1}{P_i} \quad \textit{and} \quad E = \prod_{i=1}^{m} e_{P_i}.$$

Then $(n_{\mathcal{P},0}, n_{\mathcal{P},1}, n_{\mathcal{P},2}, \ldots, n_{\mathcal{P},p-1}) =$

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{E}{p}, \frac{E}{p}, \ldots, \frac{E}{p}\right) & \textit{if } \exists i, P_i \not\equiv 0 \textit{ mod } p; \\ \left(\frac{E-1}{p} + 1, \frac{E-1}{p}, \frac{E-1}{p}, \ldots, \frac{E-1}{p}\right) & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

Proof. The number of ways of choosing the ith row of $[a_{ij}]$ is given by the multichoose number e_{P_i}. Hence the total number of matrices is $\prod_i e_{P_i} = E$. Let $Y_{\mathcal{P},\alpha}$ be the subset of matrices with weighted sum $\sum_{i=1}^{m} f(i) \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} j a_j$ in the specified residue class α. The right shift map on the ith row,

$$\sigma_i : (a_{i0}, a_{i1}, \ldots, a_{i\ p-2}, a_{ip-1}) \mapsto (a_{i\ p-1}, a_{i,0}, a_{i,1}, \ldots, a_{i\ p-2}),$$

extended by the identity map on the other rows, gives a bijection between $Y_{\mathcal{P},\alpha}$ and $Y_{\mathcal{P},\alpha+f(i)P_i}$ (second subscript interpreted mod p). Composing this map with itself k times gives a bijection between $Y_{\mathcal{P},\alpha}$ and $Y_{\mathcal{P},\alpha+kf(i)P_i}$. $P_i \not\equiv 0 \bmod p$ if and only if $f(i)P_i \not\equiv 0 \bmod p$ (since $1 \leq f(i) \leq p-1$). Hence, if $P_i \not\equiv 0 \bmod p$, the numbers $\alpha + kf(i)P_i$, $k = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$ determine the complete set of residue classes mod p; this yields the first case of (16). For $\beta \not\equiv 0 \bmod p$, the map

$$\phi_\beta : (a_{i0}, a_{i1}, \ldots, a_{i\ p-1}) \mapsto (a_{i(\beta 0)}, a_{i(\beta 1)}, a_{i(\beta 2)}, \ldots, a_{i(\beta(p-1))}) \tag{17}$$

(second subscript reduced mod p), applied to all rows, defines a bijection $\phi_\beta : Y_{\mathcal{P},\alpha} \to Y_{\mathcal{P},\beta\alpha}$ with inverse $\phi_{\beta^{-1}} : Y_{\mathcal{P},\beta\alpha} \to Y_{\mathcal{P},\alpha}$, as can be seen from

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} f(i) \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \beta j a_{ij} = \beta \sum_{i=1}^{m} f(i) \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} j a_{ij}.$$

We may conclude from this that $n_{\mathcal{P},1} = n_{\mathcal{P},\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \not\equiv 0 \bmod p$, including the case in which all $P_i \equiv 0 \bmod p$. The second case of (16) will follow if we show that $n_{\mathcal{P},0} = \frac{E-1}{p} + 1$.

The ith row of $[a_{ij}]$ can be interpreted as a partition of $0 \le l = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} j a_{ij} \le P_i(p-1)$ into at most P_i (positive) parts of size at most $p-1$. Hence the number of rows with weighted sum l is the coefficient of q^l in the polynomial form of the Gaussian number

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_i + p - 1 \\ P_i \end{bmatrix}_q = \sum_{l=0}^{P_i(p-1)} t_{l,i} q^l.$$

It follows that the number of matrices $[a_{ij}]$ with total weighted sum

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} j a_{ij}, \quad 0 \le L \le R(p-1), \quad R = \sum_i P_i,$$

is the coefficient of q^L in the polynomial product

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \begin{bmatrix} P_i + p - 1 \\ P_i \end{bmatrix}_q = \sum_{L=0}^{R(p-1)} T_L q^L,$$

where

$$T_L = \sum_{l_1 + l_2 + \ldots l_n = L} t_{l_i,i}.$$

The number we seek, $n_{\mathcal{P},0}$, is equal to

$$\sum_{p|L} T_L.$$

To pick out the desired coefficients, we use

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (e^{2\pi i/p})^{jm} = \begin{cases} p & \text{if} \quad p \mid m \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad p \nmid m \end{cases},$$

where here e denotes the base of natural logarithms. It follows that

$$p \sum_{p|L} T_L = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \sum_{L=0}^{R(p-1)} T_L (e^{2\pi i/p})^{jL}.$$

The first summand in the outer summation ($j = 0$) is

$$\sum_{L=0}^{R(p-1)} T_L = E,$$

and the remaining summands ($j = 1, 2, \ldots, p-1$) are all reorderings of the summation

$$\sum_{L=0}^{R(p-1)} T_L(e^{2\pi i/p})^L = \begin{bmatrix} R+p-1 \\ R \end{bmatrix}_{e^{2\pi i/p}}.$$

Under the assumption that all $P_i \equiv 0 \bmod p$, which implies $R \equiv 0 \bmod p$, and making use of the rational form of (13), we conclude that this integer is equal to 1. Thus

$$pn_{\mathcal{P},0} = E + p - 1,$$

which completes the proof of second case of (16). For the final statement, it suffices to apply the previous part of the lemma to the set $\mathcal{P}' = \{f(1)P_1, f(2)P_2, \ldots, f(m)P_m\}$, observing that $P_i \equiv 0 \bmod p$ if and only if $f(i)P_i \equiv 0 \bmod p$, since $f(i) \not\equiv 0 \bmod p$. □

4.1. Now suppose the matrices (14) have first column consisting of all 0's. The number of ways of choosing the ith row of such a matrix is

$$b_{P_i} = \binom{P_i + p - 2}{P_i}.$$

One can directly verify that $b_{P_i} = e_{P_i} - e_{P_i-1}$ for any positive integer P_i; it also follows from the fact that e_{P_i} counts partitions of $\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} ja_{ij}$ into *at most* P_i positive parts, while b_{P_i} counts partitions of $\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} ja_{ij}$ into *exactly* P_i positive parts. The total number of such matrices is

$$B_{\mathcal{P}} = \prod_{i=1}^{m} b_{P_i} = \prod_{i=1}^{m} (e_{P_i} - e_{P_i-1}). \tag{18}$$

Expanding the product on the right-hand side of (18) yields a sum of terms of the form

$$(-1)^r e_{P_1^*} \cdot e_{P_2^*} \cdot \cdots \cdot e_{P_m^*}$$

where P_i^* is either equal to P_i or to $P_i - 1$, and r is the number of parts for which $P_i^* = P_i - 1$. From this standpoint, (18) can be interpreted as a determination of $B_{\mathcal{P}}$ by the principle of inclusion/exclusion: from the set of all matrices (14), we exclude any matrix which, upon setting all first column entries to 0, has row sums $P_1^* \le P_1, P_2^* \le P_2, \ldots, P_m^* \le P_m$, with at least one strict inequality.

Let $X_{\mathcal{P},\alpha}$ be the set of matrices with 0's in the first column and weighted sum (15) in the residue class α. Since the map (17) leaves the first column unchanged, we conclude, as in the proof of Lemma 4.1, that $|X_{\mathcal{P},\alpha}| = |X_{\mathcal{P},\beta}|$ provided $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$. If there is a part $P_i \not\equiv 0, 1 \bmod p$, by Lemma 4.1 and inclusion/exclusion, there are $e_{P_i} - e_{P_i-1}$ rows with first entry 0, row sum P_i, and weighted row sums equidistributed mod p. Hence, by suitable replacements of the ith row, we may map $X_{\mathcal{P},\alpha}$ one-to-one and onto $X_{\mathcal{P},\beta}$ for any two residue classes α, β. Thus if $\mathcal{P}$ contains a part $P_i \not\equiv 0, 1 \bmod p$,

$$(|X_{\mathcal{P},0}|, |X_{\mathcal{P},1}|, \ldots, |X_{\mathcal{P},p-1}|) = \left(\frac{B_{\mathcal{P}}}{p}, \frac{B_{\mathcal{P}}}{p}, \ldots, \frac{B_{\mathcal{P}}}{p}\right),$$

and if there are no such parts,

$$(|X_{\mathcal{P},0}|, |X_{\mathcal{P},1}|, \ldots, |X_{\mathcal{P},p-1}|) = (W_{\mathcal{P}}, Z_{\mathcal{P}}, Z_{\mathcal{P}}, \ldots, Z_{\mathcal{P}}),$$

for some integers $W_{\mathcal{P}}$, $Z_{\mathcal{P}}$ such that $W_{\mathcal{P}} + (p-1)Z_{\mathcal{P}} = B_{\mathcal{P}}$.

We claim that

$$W_{\mathcal{P}} = Z_{\mathcal{P}} + (-1)^t \qquad \text{and} \qquad Z_{\mathcal{P}} = \frac{B_{\mathcal{P}} + (-1)^{t+1}}{p}, \tag{19}$$

where t is the number of parts in $\mathcal{P}$ which are $\equiv 1 \bmod p$. It is a simple exercise to show that $B_{\mathcal{P}} \equiv (-1)^t \bmod p$, indicating, at least, that the numbers are integers. To prove the claim let

$$\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_s, Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_t\}, \quad s+t=m, \quad s,t \geq 0, \tag{20}$$

where $P_i \equiv 0 \bmod p$ and $Q_j \equiv 1 \bmod p$. Consider the 2^m sets $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ that can be formed from (20) by reducing some, none, or all parts by 1. All but one of these sets contain a part $\not\equiv 0 \bmod p$. The exception is

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_s, Q_1 - 1, \dots, Q_t - 1\}.$$

Applying Lemma 4.1 to each $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} \neq \mathcal{P}_0$, we obtain

$$(n_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}},0}, n_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}},1}, \dots, n_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}},p-1}) \quad = \quad \left(\frac{\tilde{E}}{p}, \frac{\tilde{E}}{p}, \dots, \frac{\tilde{E}}{p}\right),$$

where $\tilde{E} = e_{P_1^*} \dots e_{P_s^*} e_{Q_1^*} \dots, e_{Q_t^*}$. On the other hand,

$$(n_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,0}, n_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,1}, \dots, n_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0,p-1}) \quad = \quad \left(\frac{E_0-1}{p} + 1, \frac{E_0-1}{p}, \frac{E_0-1}{p}, \dots, \frac{E_0-1}{p}\right), \tag{21}$$

where $E_0 = e_{P_1} e_{P_2} \dots e_{P_t} e_{Q_1 - 1} e_{Q_2 - 1} \dots e_{Q_s - 1}$.

The numbers $\tilde{E}$, E_0 are (up to sign) the summands in the expansion of

$$B_{\mathcal{P}} = \prod_{i=1}^{s} (e_{P_i} - e_{P_i - 1}) \prod_{j=1}^{t} (e_{Q_j} - e_{Q_j - 1}).$$

E_0 appears with sign $(-1)^t$, so $B_{\mathcal{P}} + (-1)^{t+1} E_0$ is the sum of all the other (signed) terms, each of which counts a set of matrices whose weighted sums, by the Lemma, are equidistributed mod p. E_0 counts a set of matrices whose weighted sums are distributed according to (21). From this and the inclusion/exclusion interpretation of (18), we deduce that

$$Z_{\mathcal{P}} = |X_{\mathcal{P},1}| = |X_{\mathcal{P},2}| = \dots = |X_{\mathcal{P},p-1}| = \frac{B_{\mathcal{P}} + (-1)^{t+1} E_0}{p} + (-1)^t \frac{E_0 - 1}{p}$$

while

$$W_{\mathcal{P}} = |X_{\mathcal{P},0}| = \frac{B_{\mathcal{P}} + (-1)^{t+1} E_0}{p} + (-1)^t \left(\frac{E_0 - 1}{p} + 1\right).$$

Terms involving E_0 drop out of both expressions, and (19) follows.

We summarize the result.

Corollary 4.2. *With notation as in Lemma 4.1, let $a_{i0} = 0$ for all i. Let*

$$b_{P_i} = \binom{P_i + p - 2}{P_i} \quad \textit{and} \quad B_{\mathcal{P}} = \prod_{i=1}^{m} b_{P_i}.$$

Then $(n_{\mathcal{P},0}, n_{\mathcal{P},1}, n_{\mathcal{P},2}, \ldots, n_{\mathcal{P},p-1}) =$

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{B_{\mathcal{P}}}{p}, \frac{B_{\mathcal{P}}}{p}, \ldots, \frac{B_{\mathcal{P}}}{p}\right) & \text{if } \exists i, P_i \not\equiv 0, 1 \text{ mod } p; \\ \left(W_{\mathcal{P}}, Z_{\mathcal{P}}, \ldots, Z_{\mathcal{P}}\right) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$Z_{\mathcal{P}} = \frac{B_{\mathcal{P}} + (-1)^{t+1}}{p}, \qquad W_{\mathcal{P}} = Z_{\mathcal{P}} + (-1)^t,$$

and t *is the number of parts* $P_i \in \mathcal{P}$ *such that* $P_i \equiv 1$ *mod* p.

5. Determination of $|\mathcal{M}| = |\mathcal{A}|$

We return to the determination of $|\mathcal{M}| = |\mathcal{A}| = |\mathcal{A}(\{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_n\}, f)|$. From this point on, we omit mention of the marking function f, since it plays no role (cf. Remark 3.1), except in the final count (Section 5.4). We proceed by recursion on n, treating n even and n odd separately, starting with $|\mathcal{A}(\{P_1, P_2\})|$ and $|\mathcal{A}(\{P_1, P_2, P_3\})|$. In these two smallest cases it is convenient to work with the original set $\mathcal{M}$. A generating column set $M \in \mathcal{M}$ for a two- or three-part partition has the block form

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & \ldots & a_{P_1} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & c_1 & \ldots & c_{P_3} \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 & b_1 & \ldots & b_{P_2} & c_1 & \ldots & c_{P_3} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad a_i, b_i, c_i \in \{1, \ldots, p-1\}, \tag{22}$$

with both row sums $\equiv 0 \bmod p$, and possibly $P_3 = 0$. If $P_3 \neq 0$, choose $c_1, \ldots, c_{P_3} \in \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ arbitrarily. The sum $\sum c_k$ belongs to some residue class $\alpha \bmod p$, and the 0 row-sum condition requires that $\sum a_i$ and $\sum b_j$ belong to the class $-\alpha$. For counting purposes, the crucial distinction is whether or not α is the 0 residue class. Specializing Corollary 4.2 to partitions with a single part, we define

$$(W_i, Z_i) = \begin{cases} \big(b_{P_i}/p,\ b_{P_i}/p\big) & \text{if } P_i \neq 0, 1 \bmod p; \\ \big((b_{P_i}-1)/p + 1,\ (b_{P_i}-1)/p\big) & \text{if } P_i \equiv 0 \bmod p; \\ \big((b_{P_i}+1)/p - 1,\ (b_{P_i}+1)/p\big) & \text{if } P_i \equiv 1 \bmod p. \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

If $\alpha \equiv 0$, there are W_3 choices of the c_k's, determining $W_1 W_2$ choices for the a_i's and b_j's. If $\alpha \not\equiv 0$, there are Z_3 choices of the c_k's, determining $Z_1 Z_2$ choices for the corresponding a_i's and b_j's. Since there are $p-1$ non-zero residue classes, it follows that

$$|\mathcal{M}(\{P_1, P_2, P_3\})| = W_1 W_2 W_3 + (p-1) Z_1 Z_2 Z_3. \tag{24}$$

If $P_3 = 0$, $W_3 = 1$ and $Z_3 = 0$, so the formula simplifies to

$$|\mathcal{M}(\{P_1, P_2\})| = W(P_1) \cdot W(P_2) = W_1 W_2. \tag{25}$$

Before proceeding with the promised recursion, we give the number of topological types $T(p, P_1, P_2)$ and $T(p, P_1, P_2, P_3)$ for fully ramified $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ actions with two- or three-part partition types (avoided in Section 3), including the case $p = 2$. We also take the opportunity to treat the rank 1 (cyclic) case as a (degenerate) $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ action with a one-part partition.

5.1. **Topological types for two- and three-part partitions; the Klein 4-group.** Deleting the binomial coefficient from formula (10) and substituting (24) for $|\mathcal{M}|$ in (9), we obtain

Theorem 5.1. *The number of topological types of fully ramified $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ actions with partition type $R = P_1 + P_2 + P_3$, where possibly $P_3 = 0$, is*

$$T(p, P_1, P_2, P_3) =$$
$$\frac{1}{p-1}\left[W_1W_2W_3 + (p-1)Z_1Z_2Z_3 + \sum_{1<d'|d} \phi(d') \prod_{i=1}^{3} \binom{P_i/d' + (p-1)/d' - 1}{P_i/d'}\right],$$

where $d = gcd(P_1, P_2, P_3, p-1)$.

Corollary 5.2. *The number of topological types of fully ramified actions of the Klein 4-group $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ with R branch points is the number of partitions of $R \geq 3$ into three parts of equal parity or into two even parts.*

Proof. If $p = 2$, (23) reduces to

$$(W_i, Z_i) = \begin{cases} (1,0) & \text{if } P_i \text{ is even;} \\ (0,1) & \text{if } P_i \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

and $T(2, P_1, P_2, P_3) = W_1W_2W_3 + Z_1Z_2Z_3$. The statement follows. Alternatively, in (22) all a_i's, b_j's and c_k are equal to 1 and the 0 row sum condition is simply $P_1 \equiv P_2 \equiv P_3 \bmod 2$; one of the parts (say, P_3) may be 0 if $P_1 \equiv P_2 \equiv P_3 \equiv 0 \bmod 2$. □

5.2. **The rank 1 case.** A generating set for a fully ramified $\mathbb{Z}_p$ action with $R \geq 3$ branch points is a single rowed matrix (multi-set) with R non-zero entries summing to 0 mod p. The number of such multi-sets is $|\mathcal{M}(\{P_1\})| = W_1$ as defined at (23), where $P_1 = R$. F_p^* (a.k.a. $GL_1(F_p) = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$) acts element-wise on multi-sets, preserving the 0 sum. With $d = \gcd(R, p-1)$, Burnside's Lemma yields

$$\frac{1}{p-1}\left[W_1 + \sum_{1<d'|d} \phi(d') \binom{R/d' + (p-1)/d' - 1}{R/d'}\right] \tag{26}$$

topological types. If $p = 2$, (26) reduces to

$$W_1 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } R \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } R \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

in accordance with the fact that fully ramified $\mathbb{Z}_2$ actions are hyperelliptic, hence, topologically unique, with $R = 2g + 2$ branch points.

5.3. **The recursion.** We return to the rank 2 case. To determine $|\mathcal{M}(\{P_1, \ldots, P_n\})|$, $n \geq 4$, we work with $\mathcal{A}(\{P_1, \ldots, P_n\})$ instead. Choose the last $n-2$ rows of $[a_{ij}] \in \mathcal{A}$. The number of choices is $\prod_{i=3}^{n} b_{P_i}$. Each such choice specifies two residue classes, α_1 and α_2, to which the weighted sums on the right-hand sides of (12) must belong. The number of ways of completing $[a_{ij}]$ by filling in the first two rows depends, in general, on whether one, both

or neither of α_1 and α_2 is the 0 residue class. If P_1 and $P_2 \not\equiv 0, 1 \bmod p$, there is no such dependence (cf. Corollary 4.2), and in that case,

$$|\mathcal{A}| = \frac{1}{p^2} \prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{P_i}. \tag{27}$$

Since the parts can be renumbered in any convenient way, this formula holds for any partition in which at least two parts $\not\equiv 0, 1 \bmod p$.

More generally, for $0 \le \gamma, \delta \le 1$, let $r_{\gamma\delta}$ be the number of choices of the last $n-2$ rows of $[a_{ij}] \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\alpha_1 = \gamma$ and $\alpha_2 = \delta$. The matrix $[r_{\gamma\delta}]$ can be used to express $|\mathcal{A}|$ as a quadratic form,

$$|\mathcal{A}| = [W_1 \ Z_1 \ \dots \ Z_1] \begin{bmatrix} r_{00} & \dots & r_{0\ p-1} \\ & \ddots & \\ r_{p-1\ 0} & \dots & r_{p-1\ p-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} W_2 \\ Z_2 \\ \vdots \\ Z_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

which, due to repetition of the Z_i's, is equivalent to the more compact form

$$|\mathcal{A}| = [W_1 \ Z_1] \begin{bmatrix} r_{00} & s_{01} \\ s_{10} & s_{11} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} W_2 \\ Z_2 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{28}$$

where $s_{01} = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} r_{0j}$, $s_{10} = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} r_{i0}$, and $s_{11} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{p-1} r_{ij}$.

Let $\mathcal{P}' = \{P_3, P_4, \dots, P_n\}$ and define

$$(W', Z') = \begin{cases} \big(B_{\mathcal{P}'}/p, B_{\mathcal{P}'}/p\big) & \text{if } \exists P_i \in \mathcal{P}',\ P_i \not\equiv 0, 1 \bmod p; \\ \big(W_{\mathcal{P}'}, Z_{\mathcal{P}'}\big) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{29}$$

By Corollary 4.2, the sum of all the entries of the matrix $[r_{\gamma\delta}]$ is $W' + (p-1)Z'$, and the sum over the first row or first column is W'. It follows that

$$s_{01} = s_{10} = W' - r_{00} \tag{30}$$

and

$$s_{11} = (p-1)Z' - W' + r_{00} \tag{31}$$

Now observe that $r_{00} = |\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{P}')|$. We leverage this into a recursive method of determining $|\mathcal{A}(\{P_1, \dots, P_n\})|$.

If n is even, define

$$r_e(u) = |\mathcal{A}(\{P_{n-2u-1}, P_{n-2u}, \dots, P_n\})|, \quad 0 \le u \le (n-2)/2.$$

The recursion begins with

$$r_e(0) = |\mathcal{A}(\{P_{n-1}, P_n\})| = W_{n-1}W_n \tag{32}$$

(cf. (25)) and ends with $r_e((n-2)/2) = |\mathcal{A}(\{P_1, \dots, P_n\})|$. The recursive step is a restatement of (28),

$$r_e(u+1) = [W_{n-2u-3} \ Z_{n-2u-3}] \begin{bmatrix} r_e(u) & s_{01}(u) \\ s_{10}(u) & s_{11}(u) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} W_{n-2u-2} \\ Z_{n-2u-2} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{33}$$

with W_i, Z_i defined by (23). In accordance with (30), (31),

$$s_{01}(u) = s_{10}(u) = W'_u - r_e(u)$$
$$s_{11}(u) = (p-1)Z'_u - W'_u + r_e(u),$$

where W'_u, Z'_u are defined by (29) for the reduced partition $\mathcal{P}'_u = \{P_{n-2u-1}, P_{n-2u}, \dots, P_n\}$.

If n is odd, we use essentially the same recursion, beginning instead with the last three parts. Let

$$r_o(u) = |\mathcal{A}(\{P_{n-2u-2}, P_{n-2u-1}, \dots, P_n\})|, \quad 0 \le u \le (n-3)/2.$$

The recursion begins

$$r_o(0) = |\mathcal{A}(\{P_{n-2}, P_{n-1}, P_n\})| = W_{n-2}W_{n-1}W_n + (p-1)Z_{n-2}Z_{n-1}Z_n, \tag{34}$$

(cf. (24)) and ends with $r_o((n-3)/2) = |\mathcal{A}(\{P_1, \dots, P_n\})|$. The recursive step is

$$r_o(u+1) = [W_{n-2u-4}\ Z_{n-2u-4}] \begin{bmatrix} r_o(u) & s_{01}(u) \\ s_{10}(u) & s_{11}(u) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} W_{n-2u-3} \\ Z_{n-2u-3} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{35}$$

with W_i, Z_i defined by (23) and

$$s_{01}(u) = s_{10}(u) = W''_u - r_o(u)$$
$$s_{11}(u) = (p-1)Z''_u - W''_u + r_o(u),$$

where W''_u, Z''_u are defined by (29) for the reduced partition $\mathcal{P}''_u = \{P_{n-2u-2}, P_{n-2u-1}, \dots, P_n\}$.

We claim that the final result of the recursions is independent of the order in which the parts are taken, and, if there are at least two parts $\not\equiv 0, 1 \bmod p$, that the final result is (27).

Example. $\mathcal{P} = \{1, 1, 2, 2\}$. Since there are two parts $\not\equiv 0, 1 \bmod p$, the easiest computation uses (27),

$$|\mathcal{A}(\{1,1,2,2\})| = \frac{1}{p^2}\binom{p}{2}^2\binom{p-1}{1}^2 = \frac{(p-1)^4}{4}.$$

But the same result is obtained with any of three possible one-step recursions of the form (33). Using, successively, $\mathcal{P}' = \{1,1\}$, $\{1,2\}$, or $\{2,2\}$, we see that

$$\left[\tfrac{p-1}{2} \quad \tfrac{p-1}{2}\right] \begin{bmatrix} 0 & p-1 \\ p-1 & (p-2)^2-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{p-1}{2} \\ \frac{p-1}{2} \end{bmatrix} =$$
$$[0\ 1] \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (p-1)^2/2 \\ (p-1)^2/2 & (p-2)(p-1)^2/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{p-1}{2} \\ \frac{p-1}{2} \end{bmatrix} =$$
$$[0\ 1] \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * \\ * & (p-1)^4/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(p-1)^4}{4}.$$

5.4. **Topological types for partitions with more than three parts.** Recall that there are $\binom{p-2}{n-3}$ possible marking functions if there are $n \ge 4$ parts. Generating column sets with the same partition type but different markings are topologically distinct. Hence:

Theorem 5.3. *The number of topological types of fully ramified $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ actions with partition type $R = P_1 + P_2 + \cdots + P_n$, where $4 \le n \le p+1$ and $d = gcd\{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_n, p-1\}$, is*

$$T(p, P_1, \ldots, P_n) =$$
$$\binom{p-2}{n-3}\frac{1}{p-1}\left[|\mathcal{A}(\{P_1, \ldots, P_n\})| + \sum_{1<d'|d} \phi(d') \prod_{i=1}^{n} \binom{P_i/d' + (p-1)/d' - 1}{P_i/d'}\right];$$

$|\mathcal{A}(\{P_1, \ldots, P_n\})|$ is determined, for n even, resp., n odd, by the recursion (33) *with basis* (32)*, resp.,* (35) *with basis* (34)*, or, if there are at least two parts $\not\equiv 0, 1$ mod p, by* (27)*.*

Example, cont. As above, $|\mathcal{A}(\{1,1,2,2\})| = (p-1)^4/4$. With the theorem we obtain $T(p,1,1,2,2) = (p-2)(p-1)^3/4$ topological types.

6. Computations

6.1. **Unitary partitions.** Suppose all parts are equal to 1, i.e.,

$$P_1 = P_2 = \cdots = P_n = 1, \quad 3 \le n \le p+1.$$

We call this the *unitary* partition of n and denote it $1^{[n]}$. Determination of $|\mathcal{A}(1^{[n]})|$ is considerably simpler than in the general case since at each step $W_i = 0$ and $Z_i = 1$. The recursions reduce to $r_e(u+1) = r_o(u+1) = s_{11}(u)$. By (32) and (34), $r_e(0) = 0$ and $r_o(0) = p-1$. Hence, for unitary partitions,

$$|\mathcal{A}(1^{[2]})| = 0 \qquad |\mathcal{A}(1^{[3]})| = p-1$$
$$|\mathcal{A}(1^{[2+2u]})| = (p-2)Z'_u - 1 + r_e(u); \qquad |\mathcal{A}(1^{[3+2u]})| = (p-2)Z''_u + 1 + r_o(u);$$

where

$$Z'_u = \frac{(p-1)^{2u}-1}{p}, \qquad Z''_u = \frac{(p-1)^{1+2u}+1}{p} \quad (u > 0).$$

The first few recursions yield

$$|\mathcal{A}(1^{[4]})| = (p-1)(p-3);$$
$$|\mathcal{A}(1^{[5]})| = (p-1)(p^2-4p+6);$$
$$|\mathcal{A}(1^{[6]})|(p-1)(p^3-5p^2+10p-10).$$

Applying Theorems 5.1, and 5.3 we obtain corresponding counts of topological types:

$$T(p, 1^{[2]}) = 0$$
$$T(p, 1^{[3]}) = \binom{p-2}{0}\frac{1}{p-1}|\mathcal{A}(1^{[3]})| = 1$$
$$T(p, 1^{[4]}) = \binom{p-2}{1}\frac{1}{p-1}|\mathcal{A}(1^{[4]})| = (p-2)(p-3)$$
$$T(p, 1^{[5]}) = \binom{p-2}{2}\frac{1}{p-1}|\mathcal{A}(1^{[5]})| = \frac{1}{2}(p-2)(p-3)(p^2-4p+6)$$
$$T(p, 1^{[6]}) = \binom{p-2}{3}\frac{1}{p-1}|\mathcal{A}(1^{[6]})| = \frac{1}{6}(p-2)(p-3)(p-4)(p^3-5p^2+10p-10).$$

6.2. **Up to six branch points.** Our methods can be used to determine the total number of topological types of fully ramified $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ actions with any given number $R \geq 3$ of branch points: apply Theorem 5.3 or 5.1 to each partition of R satisfying the criteria at the end of Section 2, and then sum the results. For $3 \leq R \leq 6$, the information necessary for these calculations is given in Table 1. To avoid special cases, we assume $p > 3$, but for partitions not containing a part equal to 3 or 4, the results are true as stated for $p = 3$ as well.

R	$\mathcal{P}$	$T(p, \mathcal{P})$, $p > 3$
3	$\{1^{[3]}\}$	1
4	$\{2, 2\}$	$(p-1)/2$
4	$\{2, 1, 1\}$	$(p-1)/2$
4	$\{1^{[4]}\}$	$(p-2)(p-3)$
5	$\{3, 2\}$	$(p+1)(p-1)/12$
5	$\{3, 1, 1\}$	$(p+1)(p-1)/6$
5	$\{2, 2, 1\}$	$(p-1)^2/4$
5	$\{2, 1, 1, 1\}$	$(p-1)(p-2)^2/2$
5	$\{1^{[5]}\}$	$(p-2)(p-3)(p^2-4p+6)/2$
6	$\{4, 2\}$	$(p+5)(p+1)(p-1)/48$
6	$\{4, 1, 1\}$	$(p+2)(p+1)(p-1)/24$
6	$\{3, 3\}$	$\begin{cases} (p+1)^2(p-1)/36 & \text{if } p \equiv -1 \mod 3; \\ (p-1)(p^2+2p+9)/36 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \mod 3 \end{cases}$
6	$\{3, 2, 1\}$	$(p+1)(p-2)^2/12$
6	$\{3, 1, 1, 1\}$	$(p-1)(p-2)^2/6$
6	$\{2, 2, 2\}$	$(p+1)(p-1)^2/8$
6	$\{2, 2, 1, 1\}$	$(p-1)^3(p-2)/4$
6	$\{2, 1, 1, 1, 1\}$	$p(p-1)(p-2)(p-3)(p-4)/4$
6	$\{1^{[6]}\}$	$(p-2)(p-3)(p-4)(p^3-5p^2+10p-10)/6$

TABLE 1. Number of topological types of fully ramified $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ actions, $p > 3$, with $3 \leq R \leq 6$ branch points, and partition type $\mathcal{P}$

REFERENCES

[1] T. Breuer, *Characters and Automorphism Groups of Compact Riemann Surfaces*. Cambridge U. Press, 2001.

[2] S. A. Broughton, Normalizers and centralizers of elementary abelian subgroups of the mapping class group, in: *Topology '90*, B. Apanasov, W.D. Neumann, A.W. Reid, L. Siebenmann, eds., Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, NY, 1992.

[3] S.A. Broughton, The equisymmetric stratification of the moduli space and the Krull dimension of the mapping class group, *Topology and its Applications*, **37** (1990), 101-113.

[4] S.A. Broughton and A. Wootton, Finite abelian subgroups of the mapping class group, *Algebraic and Geometric Topology* **7** (2007) 1651-1697.

[5] A.F. Costa and S.M. Natanzon, Topological classification of $\mathbb{Z}_p^m$ actions on surfaces, *Michigan Math. J.* **50** (2002) 451-459.

[6] W.J. Harvey, On branch loci in Teichmüller space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **153** (1971) 387-399.
[7] E. K. Lloyd, Riemann surface transformation groups, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory (A)* **13**, (1972) 17-27.
[8] J. Lutgen, A combinatorial proof of an alternating convolution identity for multichoose numbers, *American Mathematical Monthly*, **123**, no. 2 (2016), 192-196.
[9] C. Maclachlan and A. Miller, Generating functions for finite group actions on surfaces, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* (1998), **124**, 21-49.
[10] R. Miranda, *Algebraic curves and Riemann surfaces*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics **5**, American Mathematical Society, 1997.
[11] J. Nielsen, *Untersuchungen zur Topologie der geschlossenen zweiseitigen Flächen* (German), Acta Math. **50** (1927), no. 1, 189-358.
[12] J.H. van Lint and R.M. Wilson, *A Course in Combinatorics*. Cambridge University Press, 1992.
[13] W.R. Scott, *Group Theory*. Dover Publications, New York, 1987.
[14] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, v. I. 2nd ed. Cambridge U. Press, Cambridge, 2012.
[15] S. Wagon and H.S. Wilf, When are subset sums equidistributed modulo m?, *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* 1 (1994) #R3
[16] A. Weaver, Stratifying the space of moduli. In: Biswas, Kulkarni, Mitra, eds., *Teichmüller Theory and Moduli Problems*. Lecture Notes Series **10**, Ramanujan Mathematical Society, India, 2010.
[17] A. Weaver, Discrete groups and Riemann surfaces, in: *Geometry, Groups and Dynamics: ICTS program, December 3-16, 2012, Almora, India*, C.S. Aravinda et al., eds., Contemporary Mathematics **639**, American Mathematical Society, 2015.